

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Table of temperature and rainfall, week ended June 17, 1901.

[Received from Department of Agriculture, Weather Bureau.]

Locality.	Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.			Rainfall in inches and hundredths.		
	Normal.	a Excess.	aDefic'ncy.	Normal.	Excess.	Deficiency.
Atlantic Coast:						
Eastport. Me	55	3	 	.77		.57
Portland, Me	64	0		.77 .77		.57 .77
Eastport, Me Portland, Me Northfield, Vt	65		1	.84	<b></b>	.84
Boston, Mass	66	4		.70	······	.70
New Haven, Conn	67 70		$\frac{1}{2}$	.63		.63
Albany, N. Y New York, N. Y	69	1		.84 .70		.60
Harrisburg, Pa	71	l	1	.98		.78
Philadelphia, Pa	71		Ī	.70		.40
Philadelphia, Pa New Brunswick, N.J	70	0		.91		.81
Atlantic City, N. J	66		2	.77	•••••	.37
Baltimore, Md	73		1 3	.91	0.70	.31
Washington, D. C Lynchburg, Va	73 74		3	.91 .77	2.76 1.73	***************************************
Cape Henry, Va	73	ĭ		.91	.09	
	74	2		.98	<b></b>	.38
Charlotte, N. C	76	Ō		1.05	3.25	
Raleigh, N. C	75	1		. 85	1.95	
Kittyhawk, N. C	75			1.05	·····	.05
Hatteras, N. C	73	3		1.03	2.87	
Wilmington, N. C Columbia, S. C	76 79	0	3	1.36	.64 2 54	
Charleston, S. C	79		3	.96 1.31	1.79	
Augusta, Ga	78	0	l	1.11	.99	·······
Savannah (†a	79	l. <b></b>	1	1.67	3 83	
Jacksonville, Fla	80		2	1.27	4.33	<b></b>
Jupiter, Fla	79		1	1.60	10.60	••••••••••••
Key West, Fla	82	•••••	2	.98	.82	·••••••
Gulf States: Atlanta, Ga	76	0	1	.90	.10	i
Tampa, Fla	80	ŏ		1.72	l	1.12
Pensacola, Fla	80	l	2	1.27		1.27
Mobile Ala	80	0		1.38		1.38
Montgomery, Ala	80		2	1.12		.72
Meridian, Miss	78	0		1.05 .99	·····	1.05
Vicksburg, Miss New Orleans, La	79 81	3		1.60		1.60
Shreveport, La	81	3		.91		.91
Fort Smith, Ark	77	3		1.02		1.02
Little Rock, Ark	78	2		1.02		1.02
Palestine, Tex	79	1		1.02		1.02
Galveston, Tex	82		. 2	1.28		1.28
Corpus Christi, Tex	81 80	1 0	·····	.63		.63
Ohio Valley and Tennessee:	80			.00		.00
Memphis Tenn	78	2		1.18	l	.58
Memphis, Tenn Nashville, Tenn	77	Ī		.99		.89
Chattanooga, Tenn	1 76	0		1.05		. 85
Knoxville, TennLexington, Ky	74	0		.98	. 62	
Lexington, Ky	74	$\frac{2}{2}$	•••••	.98		.58
Louisville, KyIndianapolis, Ind	76 73	3		1.05 1.12		.45
Cincinneti Ohio	75	ľ		1.16		.06
Cincinnati, Ohio	73	3		.83	.07	
Parkersburg, W. Va	73	1		.98	2.22	
Fittsburg, Fa	72	2		.77		. 27
Lake Region:		۱ ۵		.80		.80
Oswego, N. Y Rochester, N. Y Buffalo, N. Y	64 67	0		.80		.77
Ruffelo N V	65	3		. 84		.84
Erie. Pa	68	0		. 94		.44
Cleveland, Ohio	68	2		. 91	.09	
Sandusky, Ohio Toledo, Ohio	70			. 96		.66
Toledo, Ohio	70	2 2 5		.84		.04
Detroit, Mich	1 68	=		1.03	.87	l
Lansing, Mich Port Huron, Mich	67 65	3		. 84		. 64
Alpena, Mich		0		. 91		. 81
Sault Ste. Marie, Mich	63	1		. 71		.11
Marquette, Mich Escanaba, Mich		0		. 84		. 24
Escanaba, Mich	59	5		.99		.39
Green Bay, Wis	70	1	. 2	77	.33	I

 $<sup>\</sup>boldsymbol{\alpha}$  The figures in this column represent the average daily departure.

1410

Table of temperature and rainfall, week ended June 17, 1901—Cont'd.

Locality.	Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.			Rainfall in inches and hundredths.		
	Normal.	a Excess.	aDefic'ncy.	Normal.	Excess.	Deficiency
Lake Region-Continued.						
Grand Haven, Mich	65	7	1	.98		.3
Milwaukee, Wis	64	2		.98		.2
Chicago III	67	3		.91	.09	
Duluth Minn	57	٠	8	1,12	1.88	
Chicago, Ill Duluth, Minn pper Mississippi Valley:	3,		0	1.14	1.00	
opper Mississippi valley:	68	4		1 11	2,49	
St. Paul, Minn		1 1		1.11		
La Crosse, Wis	70			1.10	.40	1.0
Dubuque, Iowa	71	1 :		1.26	•••••	1.0
Davenport, Iowa	72	6		1.05	•••••	.3
Des Moines, Iowa	72	4		1 37		1.3
Keokuk, Iowa	73	5		1.09		.4
Springfield, Ill	74	4		1.09		.8
Cairo, Ill	76	2		1 05		.9
St. Louis, Mo	76	6		1.26		1.2
lissouri Valley:						
Columbia, Mo	75	3		1.04		.7
Springfield, Mo	74	2		. 96	1.14	
Kansas City, Mo	74	6		1 19		1.1
Toneka Kana	74	2		1.31		.7
Topeka, Kans Wichita, Kans	75	ī		1 33		
Concordia, Kans	75	_	1	1 08		
Lincoln, Nebr	71	3		1 04		1 :7
Omaha, Nebr	72	2		1.37		1.1
Ciana Cita Tama						1.1
Sioux City, Iowa Yankton, S. Dak	73	•••••	3	.83	.17	
Yankton, S. Dak	70		2	. 98	1.52	
Valentine, Nebr	70		6	.84	3 26	
Huron, S. Dak	69		1	. 84	3.26	
Pierre, S. Dak	71		5	. 88	1.12	
Moorhead, Minn	68	0		1 10	.90	
Bismarck, N. Dak	65		5	. 90	1,20	
Williston, N. Dak	63		7	.84	2.76	
ocky Mountain Region:		I			1	
Havre, Mont.	60	1	6	.75	. 95	
Helena Mont	58		8	.62		.2
Helena, Mont	67		11	.80		.3
Rapid City, S. Dak	67		9	.97	3, 13	
Spokane, Wash	61		7	.49	.11	
Walla Walla, Wash	64		6	.35	.15	
Baker City, Oreg	55		3	.35	.05	
Winnemucca, Nev	60	••••••	0	.33	.00	. 2
Posstella Idaha			6	.21	•••••	.2
Pocatello, Idaho	61	••••••	7	.28		
Boise, Idaho	64	•••••	8	. 21		.2
Salt Lake City, Utah	66		8	.18	. 32	
Lander, Wyo	60		8	.28	.72	
Cheyenne, Wyo	61		7	. 35	1.35	
North Platte, Nebr	69		3	. 84	2.46	
Denver, Colo	67		5	. 28	1.52	<b></b>
Pueblo, Colo	68		4	28	. 92	
Dodge City, Kans Oklahoma, Okla	74	0	l	:77		.7
Oklahoma, Okla	75	3		.70		.7
Amarillo, Tex	72		2	.83		.6
Abilene, Tex	79	1	i -	.77		.7
Santa Fe, N. Mex	65	-	5	.21		i
El Paso, Tex	80 -		4	.07		0.
Phœnix, Ariz	82	·····	6	.00	.00	.0
Yuma, Ariz	85	•••••	7	.00	.00	
scific Coast:	00	•••••	. '	.00	.00	
	co			0-		.2
Seattle, Wash Tacoma, Wash	60	•••••	2	. 35	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Dantland One	59		3	. 42		.3
Portland, Oreg	62		4	. 47	•••••	.1
Roseburg, Oreg Eureka, Cal	60	0		. 33	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.2
Eureka, Cal	55		1		•••••	.3
Redbluff, Cal	74		2	.13		.1
Carson City, Nev	61		7	. 10		.1
Sacramento, Cal	67	0		. 07		.0
San Francisco, Cal	58		2	.07		.0
Fresno, Cal	73			.00	*********	
San Luis Obispo, Cal	62		4	.02		. 0:
Los Angeles, Cal	65		il	.00	.00	
San Diego, Cal	64		4	.04		.0-

a The figures in this column represent the average daily departure.